

ISCC EU Gap Audit Procedures for Farm/Plantation

Please read the guidelines carefully before completing the audit procedures!

- This gap audit procedure must be used for ISCC EU audits as of 1st January 2024 to verify compliance with the revised ISCC EU standard and with the requirements specified in the Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2022/996.
- This audit procedure must be used in addition to the currently applicable audit procedure system (APS).
- In case of differences between this audit procedure and the currently applicable version of APS, the requirements and verification guidance specified in this audit procedure prevail and must be verified.

Abbreviations for implementation of requirements

IM	Immediate requirement
ST	Short-term requirement
MT	Mid-term requirement
BP	Best practice requirement



00.	Basic Data	
00.00.	Certification Body	
00.00.001	Name of Certification Body	
00.01.	Operational Unit	
00.01.001	Company Name	
00.01.002	Street	
00.01.003	Street number	
00.01.004	Postal code	
00.01.005	Place	
00.01.006	Country	
00.01.024	ISCC Registration number*	
00.02.	Audit Specific Data	
00.02.001	Name of Lead Auditor	
00.02.004	Date of the Audit	□ yes
		□ no
00.07.	Farm/ Plantation Requirements	

^{*} Not relevant for sample audits



No.	Requirements	Verification guidance	Evidence/ Documents		Categ	ory	Findings	Confo	ormity
				IM	ST	MT		Yes	No
01.	Management System								
01.01.	General	Requirements (not applicable for farm	ns plantations audited as part of a samp	ole)					
01.01.012 (adjusted)	Are all necessary documents, records, reports, information and data according to ISCC EU Document 203 "Traceability and Chain of Custody" kept for at least five years or longer if required by the relevant national authority?	Verify if documentation for five years or longer if required by the relevant national authority is covered within the management system. Verify the oldest documents available (starting with the registration with ISCC). Also see question 01.01.11.	ISCC registration, relevant documents, QM system	X					
01.01.020 (adjusted)	Are the current ISCC Terms of Use available?	Verify if the current ISCC Terms of Use are available. Note: Verification is solely for the purpose of improving compliance. Changes to the Terms of Use become binding for the System User in accordance with the relevant provisions of the Terms of Use.	Copy of the current ISCC Terms of Use						
01.01.022 (added)	Are the relevant personnel aware of the ISCC System Updates and that they must consider the content and initiate necessary action upon request?	ISCC may communicate additional, specified, or adjusted requirements for System Users by ISCC System Updates which must be taken into account by the System User. The member(s) of staff acting as contact person(s) for ISCC are responsible for internally distributing ISCC System Updates and any other official ISCC communication to all relevant personnel and to initiate necessary action upon request by ISCC. The failure to respond to ISCC Communication and/or take action if requested to so will be treated as major nonconformity. Verify if the concept and importance of ISCC System Updates is understood by the System User. Verify if the System User is aware that all System Updates	Conformation by relevant personnel, system updates received by email and further internal distribution to relevant personnel (if applicable)	X					



No.	Requirements	Verification guidance	Evidence/ Documents		Catego	ry	Findings	Confo	ormity
	14.	3111		IM	ST	MT		Yes	No
		are sent out by email to the ISCC							
		contact person(s) and that an							
		archive of all System Updates is							
		available on the ISCC Website.							
		(see ISCC Documents 102							
		"Governance" and 201 "System							
		Basics")							
01.02.	Additional requirements for indivi	dually certified palm plantations locate	ed in Indonesia or Malaysia (to be com	pletec	for ma	in audi	s only. Not relevant for sample o	audits)	
07.	Farm/ Plantation								
07.01.	Audit of sustainability criteria								
	ISCC Principle 1								
07.01.006	Is it ensured, that biomass is not	Under this requirement, it is strictly	Evidence of compliance can be	Х					
(adjusted)	obtained from land that in or	prohibited to obtain biomass from	demonstrated by e.g. comparing						
	after January 2008 had the	land that had the status of natural	aerial photographs, land register						
	status of highly biodiverse	highly biodiverse grassland or non-	documents (e.g. field record system,						
	grassland?	natural highly biodiverse grassland	documents of land registry, land						
		in or after January 2008. The only	certificates, maps, site surveys or						
		case in which it is possible to use	management plans from 31.12.2007						
		raw material from non-natural	or earlier with today's status of the						
		highly biodiverse grassland is when	farmland, classification of						
		evidence is provided that the	geographic ranges (in accordance						
		harvesting of the raw material is	with ISCC 202-1, Annex 1). Tools to						
		necessary to preserve its status as	classify grassland areas in						
		highly biodiverse grassland. Where	accordance with ISCC 202-1, Annex						
		evidence is provided that the	1 or equivalent). Assessments of						
		harvesting of the raw material is	natural species composition,						
		necessary to preserve the highly biodiverse grassland status, no	ecological characteristics and processes as well as species-richness						
		further evidence to show	can be done by doing field surveys						
		compliance with that criterion is	supported by using databases						
		needed.	covering biodiversity of the actual						
		necaca.	area or reference areas.						
		Steps to identify highly biodiverse	Resources such as e.g. international						
		grassland	lists of threatened species, national						
		(1) Definition of the relevant	legislation regarding wildlife						
		grassland areas (geographical	protection, government and local						
		data/polygons of the grassland	authorities responsible for protected						
		areas)	areas and species, relevant NGOs,						
		(2) Analyse whether the grassland	universities and other research						
		would remain/would have	institutions.						



No.	Requirements	Verification guidance	Evidence/ Documents	Category		ry	Findings	Confo	rmity
				IM	ST	MT		Yes	No
No.	Requirements	remained grassland in the absence of human interventions such as managed grazing, mowing, cutting, harvesting or burning (3) If grassland is located within the EU, verify if the land is located in areas referred to in Article 2 of the Commission Regulation (EU) No 1307/2014 / Chapter B of Annex 1 ISCC 202-1 Document Consider that other grassland might fulfil the criteria for highly biodiverse grassland as well (4) If grassland is not located in areas referred to in Article 2 of the Commission Regulation (EU) No 1307/2014 / Chapter B of Annex 1 ISCC 202-1 Document, carry out an assessment of the grassland (5) If the grassland has already been converted to arable land, the assessment must cover information on the typical properties and characteristics of grassland in the area or other reliable information concerning the characteristics of the land. If required, conduct consultations with local stakeholders. Further guidance and requirements on the identification of highly biodiverse grassland listed in ISCC EU document 202-1 Annex 1 must	Please also see the ISCC Template for a LUC Statement and Biodiversity Assessment	IM			Findings		
		be followed. (see ISCC EU Document 202-1 "Agricultural Biomass: ISCC Principle 1")							
07.01.011	Applicable for waste and	At national level, a management	A plan including all relevant soil	Х					
(adjusted)	residues from agricultural land or	plan setting out soil management	management practices in						
	intermediate crops that can be	or monitoring practices in	accordance with ISCC Principle 1.4						
	certified analogous to	accordance with Annex VI is	(ISCC 202-1 1.4), as required by						
	agricultural residues:	required under national law. The	national law and/or on economic						
	Is it ensured that waste and	legislation shall refer to a	operator level.						



No.	Requirements	Verification guidance	Evidence/ Documents		Category IM ST MT		Findings	Confo	ormity
				IM	ST	MT		Yes	No
	residues derived from agricultural land are only used where national authorities have monitoring or management plans required by law, and/or the operator has a management plan in place in order to address the impacts on soil quality and soil carbon?	management plan or the similar, as long as the method ensures that essential soil management or monitoring practices are applied on the land to promote soil carbon sequestration and soil quality. The verification of national level compliance may be delegated to a certification body, provided they have the technical capacity to perform this role.	Local inspection of farmland with respect to the subjects. Document check and/or other evidence.						
		At the level of economic operators, they are required to develop a management plan (including soil management or monitoring practices in accordance with Annex VI). The economic operator shall apply a management plan or the similar, as long as the method ensures that essential soil management or monitoring practices are applied on the land to promote soil carbon sequestration and soil quality. Table 1 and table 2 (ISCC 202-1, 1.4) provide examples of practices that could be included in such a plan. It must be demonstrated that essential soil management or monitoring practices are effectively applied and monitored on the farms from which the agricultural residues are collected.							
07.01.012 (added)	Applicable for waste and residues from agricultural land or intermediate crops that can be certified analogous to agricultural residues: Is it ensured that the essential soil	It is ensured that essential soil management or monitoring practices are applied on the land to promote soil carbon sequestration and soil quality, examples are listed in Table 1 and	A management plan or the similar, which includes soil management or monitoring practices. It must be demonstrated that essential soil management or monitoring practices are in place on the farms	X					



No.	Requirements	Verification guidance	Evidence/ Documents		Catego	ry	Findings	Confo	rmity
				IM	ST	MT		Yes	No
	management or monitoring practices do not have a negative impact on the soil quality and the soil carbon stock?	Table 2 of the ISCC EU 202-1 System Document.	from which the agricultural residues are collected (e.g. cover/catch/intermediate crops, reduced tillage, soil organic carbon analysis, nutrient management plan). Further examples of soil management and monitoring practices are listed in Table 1 and Table 2 of the ISCC EU 202-1 System Document.						
	ISCC Principle 2								
	ISCC Principle 3								
	ISCC Principle 4								
	ISCC Principle 5								
	ISCC Principle 6								
07.02.	Traceabi	lity (relevant for main and sample auc	lits)						
07.02.012 (added)	For main audits: Are the data entries in the Union database accurate and consistent with the audited data?	The Union database put in place by the European Commission shall ensure the tracing of liquid and gaseous transport fuels that are eligible for being counted towards the share of renewable energy in the transport sector in any Member State. Economic operators are required to correctly enter the relevant information into this database. Verify that the information entered into the database is accurate and consistent with the audited data, i.e. if the correspond with the figures in the quantity bookkeeping, on sustainability declarations and other relevant documentation. Note: Any deviations between data that was registered in the Union database and the respective data from the documentation of the	Data entries in the Union database, audited data	X			Indicate deviations between data registered in the Union database and the audited data		



No.	Requirements	Verification guidance	Evidence/ Documents		Catego	ry	Findings	Confo	rmity
				IM	ST	MT		Yes	No
		system user shall be flagged in the audit report and to the ISCC when submitting the certification documents. Such discrepancies may be considered a major nonconformities identified in the audit report and may trigger a suspension of the certificate of the economic operator.							
07.03.	Greenho	ouse Gas Emissions (relevant for main o	and sample audits)						
07.03.003 (adjusted)	If the farmer applies NUTS2 values (only applicable for agricultural production of raw material in EU) or NUTS2 equivalent values, is the application of the NUTS2 value in line with the RED II and ISCC requirements?	If NUTS2 values or NUTS2 equivalent values are applied, verify the correct application (e.g. by checking if NUTS2 values are available and recognized by the EC (i.e. approved through an Implementing Act). Only NUTS2 values or values from equivalent regions in third countries that have been recognised by the European Commission can be applied. Verify the location of agricultural production and whether the correct NUTS2 value for that location or the highest NUTS2 value for the whole Member State has been used (to be applied at the farm, first gathering point or central office).	Documentation of cultivation location and GHG value. Identify Member State and respective NUTS2 value, which is applicable for raw material, or with NUTS2-equivalent values provided by third countries and confirmed by the European Commission.	X					
07.03.006 (added)	Have the N ₂ O emissions been calculated correctly?	Consider if for N-fertilizers (mineral and organic) and crop residues the N ₂ O-field emission have been included in the calculation via an actual calculation or alternatively the DDV for N ₂ O soil emissions only has been used. In the case of actual calculation: - have disaggregated crop-specific emission factors for different environmental conditions been used?	IPCC guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories, Volume 4, Chapter 11, http://www.ipcc- nggip.iges.or.jp/public/2006gl/pdf/ 4_Volume4/V4_11_Ch11_N2O&CO 2.pdf and "2019 Refinement to the 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories"	X					



No.	Requirements	Verification guidance	Evidence/ Documents		Catego	ry	Findings	Confo	rmity
				IM	ST	MT		Yes	No
		-have the correct emission factors been chosen? If Tier1 approach was used, verify that no other approach was applicable.							
07.03.008 (adjusted)	Have emission savings from soil carbon accumulation via improved agricultural management (esca) been calculated correctly? (only relevant if 00.07.15 was answered with yes)	Were only the following measures integrated: - Shifting to reduced or zero-tillage Improved crop rotation The use of cover crops, including crop residues management The use of organic soil improver (e.g. compost, manure fermentation digestate, biochar etc.)? Was the correct emission formula applied for calculating emission savings from improved agricultural management? The measurement of carbon stocks in the field shall follow the rules described in ISCC EU System Document 205. Were the field measurements of soil carbon stocks done correctly? After the second measurement, economic operators may use modelling to estimate the annual increase in soil carbon stocks. This is possible only until the next measurement becomes available and only if the models used have been calibrated, based on the real values measured. Only modelling estimates obtained by ISCC-validated models described in ISCC EU System Document 205, can be	Production records Transparent documentation of the calculation and documentation of results and of input data. Information on esca methodology Documentation of model used	X					



No.	Requirements	Verification guidance	Evidence/ Documents		Catego	ory	Findings	Confo	ormity
				IM	ST	MT		Yes	No
		accepted for the integration with field measurement values. Were only ISCC-validated model used (as described in ISCC EU System Document 205)?							
		Which esca methodology was used?							
		Was the maximum possible value for the annual esca claim complied with?							
		The actual values for esca have to be calculated on individual farm level, i.e. it is not allowed to setup a regional approach for the complete supply base.							



ISCC EU and ISCC PLUS Audit Procedure Chain of Custody Chapter No. 7: Best Practices, Non-conformities and measures	
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--

	Voluntary Improvement Measures and Best Practices												
No.	No. of Requirements	Finding	Voluntary Improvement Measure	Fully Implemented	Partially Implemented	Not (yet) Implemented							
1													
2													
3													
Ren	narks, observations of	best practices and suggestions for voluntary improvement		·									
	(Voluntary information	on, will also be included in the Summary Audit Report)											

Mandatory Improvement Measures										
No.	No. of Require ment	Non-Conformity/ Finding	Category of non-conformity/finding ¹			Action/Measure	Implementation of Mandatory Measure	Measure implemented		
			Minor NC	Major NC	Critical NC	Action/Medisore	until when (within 40 days)	No	Yes	
1										
2										
3										
4										
5									·	
6										

Place, Date, Signature Auditor	Place, Date, Signature GHG auditor/ expert	Place, Date, Signature Client
	(in case of individual calculation)	(By signing the client also confirms that the ISCC terms of use are accepted)

¹ Please see ISCC System Document 102 "Governance" (chapter 10) for further information on non-conformities and sanctions